

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

RICHARD G. TURAY,

Plaintiff,

v.

KELLY CUNNINGHAM, CATHI
HARRIS, and CHAPLAIN GREG
DUNCAN,

Defendants.

No. C10-5493 BHS/KLS

ORDER RE-NOTING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS AS A MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Before the court is the motion to dismiss of Defendants Kelly Cunningham, Greg Duncan and Cathi Harris. ECF No. 14. In support of their motion, Defendants submit the Declarations of Kelly Cunningham (ECF Nos. 15 and 19), and the resident handbook of the Special Commitment Center. ECF No. 14, Exh. 1.

“When ruling on a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss, if a district court considers evidence outside the pleadings, it must normally convert the 12(b)(6) motion into a Rule 56 motion for summary judgment, and must give the nonmoving party an opportunity to respond.” *United States v. Ritchie*, F.3d 903, 907 (9th Cir.2003) (citations omitted). However, the court may consider certain materials without converting the motion to dismiss into a motion for summary judgment. *Id.* at 908 (citing *Van Buskirk v. CNN*, 284 F.3d 977, 980 (9th Cir.2000); *Barron v. Reich*, 13 F.3d 1370, 1377 (9th Cir.1994)). Such materials include documents attached to the

1 complaint, documents incorporated by reference in the complaint, or matters of judicial notice.
2 *Id.*

3 Courts may take judicial notice of adjudicative facts that are “not subject to reasonable
4 dispute.” Fed.R.Evid. 201(b). A fact is not subject to reasonable dispute, and is thus subject to
5 judicial notice, only where the fact is either “(1) generally known within the territorial
6 jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to
7 sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.” Fed.R.Evid. 201(b). If matters of
8 public record meet the requirements of Rule 201(b), then the court may consider the documents
9 without converting the motion to dismiss into a motion for summary judgment. *Ritchie*, 342
10 F.3d at 909.

12 Where “matters outside the pleading are presented to and not excluded by the court,” a
13 rule 12(b)(6) motion is “treated as one for summary judgment and disposed of as provided in
14 Rule 56,” while allowing all parties a “reasonable opportunity to present all material made
15 pertinent to such a motion by Rule 56.” Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b). In transforming a dismissal into a
16 summary judgment proceeding, the court must inform a plaintiff proceeding *pro se* that it is
17 considering more than the pleadings and afford the opportunity to present all pertinent material.
18 *Anderson v. Angelone*, 86 F.3d 932, 934 (9th Cir. 1996); *Lucas v. Department of Corr.*, 66 F.3d
19 245, 248 (9th Cir. 1995). “If the pro se litigant is a prisoner, the district court’s duties are even
20 greater: ‘The district court is obligated to advise prisoner pro se litigants of Rule 56
21 requirements.’” *Anderson*, 86 F.3d at 935 (quoting *Klinge v. Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12
22 (9th Cir. 1988)).
23
24
25
26

1 In this case, the court finds appropriate the conversion of Defendant's motion to dismiss
2 to a Rule 56 motion for summary judgment. In so doing, the court advises Plaintiff of the
3 following:

4 A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules
5 of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case [as to the claims and defendants
6 addressed in the motion].

7 Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary
8 judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no
9 genuine issue of material fact -- that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact
10 that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary
11 judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case.
12 When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is
13 properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply
14 rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in
15 declarations, deposition, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents,
16 as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's
17 declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact
18 for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary
19 judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is
20 granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

21 *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 962-963 (9th Cir. 1998)(emphasis added).

22 Furthermore, Local Rule CR 7(b)(4) states that a party's failure to file necessary
23 documents in opposition to a motion for summary judgment may be deemed by
24 the court to be an admission that the opposite one is without merit.

25 In converting this motion, the court stresses that both plaintiff and defendants should take
26 the opportunity to present all arguments and material pertinent to a Rule 56 motion. Thus, the
court hereby requests additional briefing from the parties.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

(1) Defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 14) is converted to a motion for
summary judgment. The Clerk shall **strike** the present noting date of October 29, 2010 for the

1 motion to dismiss and shall **note** the motion as a summary judgment motion for **January 7,**
2 **2011.**

3 (2) Defendants may submit any additional briefing and supportive material on or
4 before **December 10, 2010.**

5 (3) Plaintiff shall respond **on or before January 3, 2011.**

6 (4) Defendants may submit a reply on or before **January 7, 2011.**

7 (5) The Clerk of the Court is directed to send copies of this Order to counsel of record
8 and to Plaintiff.
9

10
11 DATED this 15th day of November, 2010.

12
13 
14 Karen L. Strombom
15 United States Magistrate Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26